

Memo Date: January 10, 2007
Order Date: January 23, 2007



TO: Board of County Commissioners

DEPARTMENT: Public Works Dept./Land Management Division

PRESENTED BY: BILL VANVACTOR, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
KENT HOWE, PLANNING DIRECTOR

AGENDA ITEM TITLE: In the Matter of Considering a Ballot Measure 37 Claim and Deciding Whether to Modify, Remove or Not Apply Restrictive Land Use Regulations in Lieu of Providing Just Compensation (PA06-6246, Buley)

BACKGROUND

Applicant: Donna C. and Michael T. Buley

Current Owner: Donna C. Buley and Michael T. Buley

Agent: Lee Omlid

Map and Tax lot: 20-01-00 #2100

Acreage: approximately 80 acres.

Current Zoning: F1 (Non-Impacted Forest)

Date Property Acquired: Michael Buley: June 6, 1979 (LSC #7937179).
Donna Buley: December 12, 2003 (BSD #2003-119784)

Date claim submitted: July 12, 2006

180-day deadline: January 9, 2007.

Land Use Regulations in Effect at Date of Acquisition: FM (Forest Management).

Restrictive County land use regulation: Minimum parcel size of 80 acres and limitations on new dwellings in the F1 zone (LC 16.210).

ANALYSIS

To have a valid claim against Lane County under Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770, the applicant must prove:

1. Lane County has enacted or enforced a restrictive land use regulation since the owner acquired the property, and

Michael and Donna Buley acquired an interest in the property through a Land Sale Contract on June 6, 1979. On that date, the property was zoned FM (Forest Management). At that time Donna and Michael Buley shared a undivided ½ interest in the property with Greg Scholl. On October 13, 1980, Scholl conveyed his interest to the applicants. On May 13, 1993, Donna conveyed her interest to Michael as evidence by BSD #9328839. On December 15, 2003, Michael conveyed the property to himself and Donna as evidence by BSD #2003-119784. On this date, the property was zoned F1 (Non-Impacted Forest).

The FM zone in 1979 allowed for residential dwellings on lots with a minimum size of 40 acres and provided the possibility of residential dwellings on lots smaller than 40 acres by conditional use permit. The current designation of Non-Impacted Forest (F-1) was applied in 1984 which restricts land divisions to 80 acre minimum and prohibits new dwellings.

2. The restrictive land use regulation has the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, and

Because Michael Buley has maintained an ownership interest in the property since 1979, the Buley family can demonstrate a reduction in fair market value from the 1979. Michael has maintained an ownership interest in the property since 1979. The minimum lot size and limitation on new dwellings in the F1 (Non-Impacted Forest) zone prevent the Buley family from developing the property as allowed in 1979. Donna has maintained a continuous ownership in the property since 2003. The minimum lot size and dwelling restrictions can not be waived for her because they were applicable in 2003. The applicant has submitted a comparative market analysis prepared by a real estate broker which alleges a reduction of \$120,000.

3. The restrictive land use regulation is not an exempt regulation as defined in LC 2.710.

The minimum parcel size and dwelling restrictions do not appear to be exempt regulations.

CONCLUSION

It appears this is a valid claim.

RECOMMENDATION

The County Administrator recommends the Board adopt the attached order to waive the restrictive land use regulations since June 6, 1979 for Michael Buley, and since December 15, 2003 for Donna Buley.

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LANE COUNTY,
OREGON**

ORDER No.

) IN THE MATTER OF CONSIDERING A BALLOT
) MEASURE 37 CLAIM AND DECIDING
) WHETHER TO MODIFY, REMOVE OR NOT
) APPLY RESTRICTIVE LAND USE
) REGULATIONS IN LIEU OF PROVIDING JUST
) COMPENSATION (Buley/PA06-6246)

WHEREAS, the voters of the State of Oregon passed Ballot Measure 37 on November 2, 2004, which added provisions to Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) Chapter 197 to require, under certain circumstances, payment to landowner if a government land use regulation restricts the use of private real property and has the effect of reducing the property value; and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Lane County enacted Ordinance No. 18-04 on December 1, 2004, to establish a real property compensation claim application process in LC 2.700 through 2.770 for Ballot Measure 37 claims; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has reviewed an application for a Measure 37 claim submitted by Donna C. Buley and Michael T. Buley (PA06-6246), the owners of real property located off Lost Creek Road approximately 5 miles southeast of Dexter, and more specifically described in the records of the Lane County Assessor as map 20-01-00, tax lot 2100, consisting of approximately 80 acres in Lane County, Oregon; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has determined that the application appears to meet all of the criteria of LC 2.740(1)(a)-(d), appears to be eligible for just compensation and appears to require modification, removal or not applying the restrictive land use regulations in lieu of payment of just compensation and has referred the application to the Board for public hearing and confirmation that the application qualifies for further action under Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has determined under LC 2.740(4) that modification, removal or not applying the restrictive land use regulation is necessary to avoid owner entitlement to just compensation under Ballot Measure 37 and made that recommendation to the Board; and

WHEREAS, the Board has reviewed the evidence and confirmed the application appears to qualify for compensation under Measure 37 but Lane County has not appropriated funds for compensation for Measure 37 claims and has no funds available for this purpose; and

WHEREAS, on January 23, 2007, the Board conducted a public hearing on the Measure 37 claim (PA06-6246) of Donna C. Buley and Michael T. Buley and has now determined that the restrictive F1 (Non-Impacted Forest) zone dwelling and land division requirements of LC 16.210 were enforced and made applicable to prevent Michael T. Buley from developing the property as might have been allowed at the time he acquired an interest in the property on June 6, 1979 and for Donna Buley on December 15, 2003, and that the public benefit from application of the

current F1 dwelling and division land use regulations to the applicant's property is outweighed by the public burden of paying just compensation; and

WHEREAS, Donna C. Buley and Michael T. Buley request either \$120,000 as compensation for the reduction in value of their property, or waiver of all land use regulations that would restrict the division of land into lots containing less than eighty acres and placement of a dwelling on each lot, uses that could have otherwise been allowed at the time Michael Buley acquired an interest in the property; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that under LC 2.760(3) the public interest would be better served by modifying, removing or not applying the challenged land use regulations of the F1 zone to the subject property in the manner and for the reasons stated in the report and recommendation of the County Administrator incorporated here by this reference except as explicitly revised here to reflect Board deliberation and action to allow Michael T. Buley to make application for development of the subject property in a manner similar to what he could have been able to do under the regulations in effect when he acquired an interest in the property on June 6, 1979 and Donna Buley acquired an interest in the property on December 15, 2003; and

WHEREAS, this matter having been fully considered by the Lane County Board of Commissioners.

NOW, THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the applicant Donna C. Buley and Michael T. Buley made a valid claim under Ballot Measure 37 by describing the use being sought, identifying the county land use regulations prohibiting that use, submitting evidence that those land use regulations have the effect of reducing the value of the property, showing evidence that they acquired an interest in the property before the restrictive county land use regulations were enacted or enforced and the Board hereby elects not to pay just compensation but in lieu of payment, the request of Donna C. Buley and Michael T. Buley shall be granted and the restrictive provisions of LC 16.210 shall not apply to Donna C. Buley and Michael T. Buley, so they can make application for approval to develop the property located off Lost Creek Road, approximately 6 miles southeast of Dexter, and more specifically described in the records of the Lane County Assessor as map 20-01-00, tax lot 2100, consisting of approximately 80 acres in Lane County, Oregon, in a manner consistent with the land use regulations in effect for Michael Buley when he acquired an interest in the property on June 6, 1979, and for Donna Buley when she acquired an interest in the property on December 15, 2003; and

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Donna C. Buley and Michael T. Buley still need to make application and receive approval of any division of the property or placement of a dwelling under the other land use regulations applicable to dividing the property or placing a dwelling that were not specifically identified or established by them as restricting the division of the property or placement of a dwelling, and it would be premature to not apply those regulations given the available evidence. To the extent necessary to effectuate the Board action to not apply the dwelling or division restrictions of the applicable zone described above, the claimant shall submit appropriate applications for review and approval of a new dwelling to show the specific development proposals and in the event additional county land use regulations result in a restriction of those uses that have the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, the County Administrator shall have the authority to determine those restrictive county land use regulations that will not apply to that development proposal to preclude entitlement to just

compensation under Measure 37, and return to the Board for action, if necessary. All other Lane Code land use and development regulations shall remain applicable to the subject property until such time as they are shown to be restrictive and that those restrictions reduce the fair market value of the subject property.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this action making certain Lane Code provisions inapplicable to use of the property by Donna C. Buley and Michael T. Buley does not constitute a waiver or modification of state land use regulations and does not authorize immediate division of the subject property or immediate construction of a dwelling. The requirements of state law may contain specific standards regulating development of the subject property and the applicant should contact the Department of Administrative Services (DAS - State Services Division, Risk Management - Measure 37 Unit, 1225 Ferry Street SE, U160, Salem, OR 97301-4292; Telephone: (503) 373-7475; website address: <http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/Risk/M37.shtml>) and have the State of Oregon evaluate a Measure 37 claim and provide evidence of final state action before seeking county land use approval.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the other county land use regulations and rules that still apply to the property require that land use, sanitation and building permits be approved by Lane County before any development can proceed. Notice of this decision shall be recorded in the county deed records. This order shall be effective and in effect as described in LC 2.770 and Ballot Measure 37 to the extent permitted by law. This order does not resolve several questions about the effect and application of Measure 37, including the question of whether the right of applicant to divide or build dwellings can be transferred to another owner. If the ruling of the Marion County Circuit Court in *MacPherson v. Dept. of Administrative Services*, (Marion County Circ. Ct. Case No. 00C15769, October 14, 2005) or any other court decision involving Ballot Measure 37 becomes final and that decision or any subsequent court decision has application to Lane County in a manner that affects the authority of this Board to grant relief under Ballot Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770 then the validity and effectiveness of this Order shall be governed by LC 2.770 and the ruling of the court.

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Faye Stewart, Chair
Lane County Board of County Commissioners

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Date 1-17-2007 Lane County


OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL